From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk
Subject: Application Reference PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Date: 10 September 2026 16:41:45

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

I strongly object to this planning proposal and I would like to express my main concern.

[redacted] We have enjoyed the peace and tranquillity of our garden and the village. We have been able to walk safely down the lanes of [redacted] and across the fields with our daughters and more recently with our granddaughters. These walks have regularly been alongside the boundary fence of the MOD Site A, along Widnell Lane and Dark Lane as well as in Jubilee Park, the village Playing Field. Our granddaughters have particularly enjoyed using the green gym equipment located next to the boundary.

The Planning Application describes the use class as C2A - Secure Residential Institutions. This is the class used for detention centres and prisons. Yet, we have found that the site will not be secure. The Home Office fact sheet describes the site as "non-detained" and that migrants will be "free to come and go." I fear that this would allow them to wander freely day and night.

The planning proposal does not contain any details of how the Home Office plans to ensure public safety and security.

The government's fact sheet providing guidance on the MOD Bicester Site A (updated 9th September 2026) Para7 *"How will the Home Office ensure public safety and security?"* demonstrates that no additional thought or consideration has been given to this subject in respect of this site. The paragraphs are exact copies of the text used in both fact sheets for Wethersfield and Crowborough sites.

I am being asked to accept that everything will be fine, there will be no safety or security issues and that the police will be able to protect the village. And yet:

1. The police were not consulted on these plans.
2. The wives and children of migrants held in this site will be housed elsewhere because the Home Office deems it to be unsafe for them to be housed on site.
3. The Home Secretary has stated that sites of this type should not be located close to schools.

4. The Bicester Garrison Commander has written to the Garrison's families saying that "they will be protected".
5. The Home Office decided it was necessary to publish a booklet on 19th August 2026 "Understanding behaviours and expectations in the UK: a guide for asylum seekers" including sections on *"respect in public"* and *"sex and consent."*

If this proposal proceeds, I feel that it will no longer be possible for me, my children and grandchildren to walk safely in the village or be in our garden in full view of the anyone walking along the road.

Please reject this application to protect the safety of the men, women and children of Piddington.

Cynthia Cook

[redacted]

10th September 2026

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter and advise me of progress in this matter.